arXiv:1110.1423v1 [math-ph] 7 Oct 2011

A Sharp Existence Theorem for Vortices in the Theory of Branes[1]

Xiaosen Han[a, b]

a. *Institute of Contemporary Mathematics, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, China*

b. *School of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, China*

Abstract. We investigate the BPS equations arising from the theory of multi-intersection of D-branes. By using the direct minimization method, we establish sharp existence and uniqueness theorems for multiple vortex solutions of the BPS equations over a doubly periodic domain and over the full plane, respectively. In particular, we obtain an explicit necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a unique solution for the doubly periodic domain case.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 35A05, 58E50.

Keywords: Vortices; D-brane; BPS equation; direct minimization.

1 Introduction

Vortices play important roles in many areas of theoretical physics including superconductivity theory [1,13,25], condensed-matter physics [23,26], optics [5], and cosmology [20,27,42]. It is Taubes who first obtained the rigorous construction of multiple vortex static solutions for the Abelian Higgs model in [25,40,41]. Since then a great deal of work has been done on various vortex equations, see e.g. [7,8,16–19,21,24,31,35,36,39,43,46]. Recently, considerable attention has been devoted to the studies on nonAbelian multiple vortices in supersymmetric gauge field theory [3,4,9–11,15,32–34]. Lieb and Yang [28], Lin and Yang [29,30] developed a series of existence and uniqueness theories for the multiple vortex equations obtained in [3,4,9–11,15,32–34].

The purpose of this paper is to establish the existence of multiple vortex solutions of the BPS equations derived in [38] from the theory of multi-intersection of D-branes. By complexifying the variables, we can change the corresponding BPS equations into an $l \times l (l \geq 2)$ system of nonlinear elliptic equations. Then, using the direct minimization method developed in [28], we can establish the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the BPS equations over a doubly periodic domain Ω and over the full plane $\mathbb{R}^2$, respectively. It is worth noting that we can establish an explicit necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a unique solution for the doubly periodic domain case and such result is very rare in the existing literature.

The rest of our paper is organized as follows. In section 2, following Suyama [37,38] we derive the BPS equation and state our main results on existence and uniqueness of multiple vortex solutions for the BPS equations. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the existence result in the doubly periodic domain case. In section 4 we prove the existence results for the planar case. In section 5 we summarize our result and draw a conclusion.

---

[1] This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China 11026077

2 Vortices in Branes

To formulate our problem, we follow Suyama [37,38]. We consider the following brane configuration in Type IIA theory compactified on T^6. There are Q_1 D4-branes and Q_2 D4 branes wrapped along different directions of T^6. They intersect over a 3-dimensional hyperplane. The low energy effective theory on the D4-D4' intersection is a 3-dimensional gauge theory, whose gauge group is $U(Q_1) \times U(Q_2)$. For simplicity, we assume that D4(D4')-branes are separated from each other. Then the gauge group is reduced to $U(1)^{Q_1} \times U(1)^{Q_2}$. The action is the following,

$$\begin{aligned} S = \int \mathrm{d}^3x \Bigg[& \sum_{n=1}^{Q_1} \left(-\frac{1}{4g^2} F^{(1)}_{nij} F^{(1)ij}_{n} - \frac{g^2}{2} (D^{(1)}_n)^2 - g^2 |F^{(1)}_n|^2 \right) \\ & + \sum_{m=1}^{Q_2} \left(-\frac{1}{4g^2} F^{(2)}_{mij} F^{(2)ij}_{m} - \frac{g^2}{2} (D^{(2)}_m)^2 - g^2 |F^{(2)}_m|^2 \right) \\ & - \sum_{n=1}^{Q_1} \sum_{m=1}^{Q_2} |D_i q_{nm}|^2 \Bigg], \ \ (i,j = 0,1,2), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D_i q_{nm} &= \partial_i q_{nm} + \mathrm{i} \left(A^{(1)}_{ni} - A^{(2)}_{mi} \right) q_{nm}, \\ D^{(1)}_n &= -\sum_{m=1}^{Q_2} \left(|q_{nm}|^2 - |\tilde{q}_{nm}|^2 - \zeta \right), \\ D^{(2)}_m &= +\sum_{n=1}^{Q_1} \left(|q_{nm}|^2 - |\tilde{q}_{nm}|^2 - \zeta \right), \\ F^{(1)}_n &= -\sqrt{2} \sum_{m=1}^{Q_2} q_{nm} \tilde{q}_{mn}, \\ F^{(2)}_m &= +\sqrt{2} \sum_{n=1}^{Q_1} \tilde{q}_{mn} q_{nm}, \end{aligned}$$

$A^{(1)}_{ni}$ and $A^{(2)}_{mi}$ are the gauge fields in the Cartan subalgebra of $U(Q_1)$ and $U(Q_2)$.

Assume that ζ is positive, without potential we have the vacuum configuration

$$|q_{nm}| = \zeta, \ \ \tilde{q}_{mn=0}. \tag{2.2}$$

The monopole solution must satisfy this condition at spatial infinity.

When $Q_1 = l \, (l \geq 2), Q_2 = 1$, by the Bogomol'nyi reduction [6,25] for the static solutions, Suyama [38] obtained the BPS equations of the following form,

$$F^{(1)}_{j,12} \pm g^2 (|q_{j1}|^2 - \zeta) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, l, \tag{2.3}$$

$$F^{(2)}_{1,12} \mp g^2 \sum_{i=1}^{l} (|q_{i1}|^2 - \zeta) = 0, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\left(\partial_1 q_{j1} + \mathrm{i} \left(A^{(1)}_{j,1} - A^{(2)}_{1,1} \right) q_{j1} \right) - \mathrm{i} \left(\partial_2 q_{j1} + \mathrm{i} \left(A^{(1)}_{j,2} - A^{(2)}_{1,2} \right) q_{j1} \right) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, l. \tag{2.5}$$

Redefining the fields as follows

$$A_{j_\alpha}=A^{(1)}_{j,\alpha}-A^{(2)}_{1,\alpha},\ \alpha=1,2,\ F_j=\partial_1 A_{j_2}-\partial_2 A_{j_1},\ j=1,\dots,l \tag{2.6}$$

and using suitable re-scaling, the BPS equations (2.3)-(2.5) are transformed into

$$F_j+|q_{j1}|^2+\sum_{i=1}^{l}|q_{i1}|^2-(l+1)=0,\quad j=1,\dots,l, \tag{2.7}$$

$$(\partial_1 q_{j1}+\mathrm{i}A_{j_1}q_{j1})-\mathrm{i}(\partial_2 q_{j1}+\mathrm{i}A_{j_2}q_{j1})=0,\quad j=1,\dots,l, \tag{2.8}$$

where we take lower sign in (2.3)-(2.5).

For convenience we complexify the variables. Let

$$z=x^1+\mathrm{i}x^2,\quad \tilde{A}_j=A_{j_1}+\mathrm{i}A_{j_2},\quad \partial=\frac{1}{2}(\partial_1-\mathrm{i}\partial_2),\quad \bar{\partial}=\frac{1}{2}(\partial_1+\mathrm{i}\partial_2).$$

Hence, noting that $\partial\bar{\partial}=\frac{1}{4}\Delta$, the BPS equations (2.7)-(2.8) are transformed into

$$\Delta\ln|q_{j1}|^2=|q_{j1}|^2+\sum_{i=1}^{l}|q_{i1}|^2-(l+1),\quad j=1,\dots,l, \tag{2.9}$$

away from the zeros of $q_{11},\dots,q_{l1}$. As in [25], we can see from the equation (2.9) that the zeros of $q_{11},\dots,q_{l1}$ are discrete and of integer multiplicities. Now we denote the zeros of q_{j1} by $Z_{q_{j1}}$,

$$Z_{q_{j1}}=\{p_{j,1},\dots,p_{j,N_j}\},\qquad j=1,\dots,l \tag{2.10}$$

such that the repetitions among the points take account of the multiplicities of these zeroes. Then the substitutions

$$u_j=\ln|q_{j1}|^2,\qquad j=1,\dots,l$$

transform the equations (2.9) into the following nonlinear elliptic system

$$\Delta u_j=e^{u_j}+\sum_{i=1}^{l}e^{u_i}-(l+1)+4\pi\sum_{s=1}^{N_j}\delta_{p_{j,s}}(x),\qquad j=1,\dots,l, \tag{2.11}$$

defined over the entire domain.

Throughout this paper we will use the following notations. Let $\mathbf{u}=(u_1,\dots,u_l)^\tau,\mathbf{v}=(v_1,\dots,v_l)^\tau$, $\mathbf{U}=(e^{u_1},\dots,e^{u_l})^\tau$, and $A=(a_{ij})_{ll}$ be the $l\times l$ matrix

$$A=\begin{pmatrix}2&1&1&\dots&1\\1&2&1&\dots&1\\1&1&2&\dots&1\\\vdots&\vdots&\vdots&\ddots&\vdots\\1&1&1&\dots&2\end{pmatrix}.$$

For vectors $\mathbf{a}=(a_1,\dots,a_l)^\tau$, $\mathbf{b}=(b_1,\dots,b_l)^\tau$, we denote $\mathbf{a}>(\geq)\mathbf{b}$ if $a_i>(\geq)b_i$, $i=1,\dots,l$.

Now the equations (2.11) can be written in the vector form

$$\Delta\mathbf{u}=A\mathbf{U}-\mathbf{c}, \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_l) \quad \text{with} \quad c_j = (l+1) - 4\pi \sum_{s=1}^{N_j} \delta_{p_{j,s}}(x), \quad j = 1, \dots, l.$$

We are interested in two cases. In the first case the equations will be studied over a doubly periodic domain Ω, governing multiple vortices hosted in Ω such that the field configurations are subject to the 't Hooft boundary condition [22,44,46] under which periodicity is achieved modulo gauge transformations. In the second case the equations is studied over the full plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ and the solutions satisfy the boundary condition

$$u_j \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \to \infty, \qquad j = 1, \dots, l. \tag{2.13}$$

Now we can state our main results concerning the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the BPS equations (2.7)-(2.8) as the following.

Theorem 2.1 *Consider the BPS system of multiple vortex equation* (2.7)-(2.8) *for* $(q_{11}, \dots, q_{l1}, A_{1_1}, \dots, A_{l_1}, A_{1_2}, \dots, A_{l_2})$ *with prescribed sets of zeros given by* (2.10) *such that* q_{j1} *have* N_j *arbitrarily distributed zeros,* $j = 1, \dots, l$.

(i) For the problem over a doubly periodic domain Ω*, a solution exists if and only if*

$$\max_{1 \le j \le l} \{N_j\} < \frac{(l+1)|\Omega|}{4\pi}. \tag{2.14}$$

Furthermore, if there exits a solution, it must be unique.

(ii) For the problem over the full plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ *subjected to the boundary condition*

$$|q_{j1}| \to 1, \ as |x| \to \infty, \qquad j = 1, \dots, l, \tag{2.15}$$

there exists a unique solution up to gauge transformations such that the boundary behavior (2.15) *is reached exponentially fast.*

In either case, the total vortex fluxes are quantized quantities given by

$$\int F_j \mathrm{d}x = 4\pi N_j, \qquad j = 1, \dots, l. \tag{2.16}$$

Remark 1 *Noting the notations* (2.6)*, we can obtain the same existence result for the original BPS equations* (2.3)-(2.5).

Remark 2 *For the first part of the theorem, it can also be proved by a constrained minimization method developed in [45] and chapter 4 of [46], where a more general elliptic system was studied. However, in this paper we will prove it by using a direct minimization method developed in [28], which is more direct and powerful. In fact, following the direct minimization method used here, we can prove Theorem 1 in [45].*

3 Proof of existence for doubly periodic case

We consider the problem (2.7)-(2.8) over a doubly periodic domain Ω.

Let u_j^0 be the solution to

$$\Delta u_j^0 = 4\pi \sum_{s=1}^{N_j} \delta_{p_{j,s}}(x) - \frac{4\pi N_j}{|\Omega|}, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad j = 1, \ldots, l. \tag{3.1}$$

Set $u_j = u_j^0 + v_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, l$, and the equations (2.13) are transformed into

$$\Delta v_j = e^{u_j^0 + v_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} e^{u_i^0 + v_i} - (l+1) + \frac{4\pi N_j}{|\Omega|}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, l. \tag{3.2}$$

First we show that the condition (2.14) is necessary. If $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_l)$ is a solution to the equations (3.1), integrating the equations over Ω, we can obtain

$$\int_\Omega e^{u_j^0 + v_j} \mathrm{d}x = |\Omega| - 4\pi N_j + \frac{4\pi}{l+1} \sum_{i=1}^{l} N_i \equiv K_j > 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, l. \tag{3.3}$$

Then (2.14) and (2.16) follows.

Next we prove that (2.14) is also sufficient for existence. In other words, we will prove that under the condition (2.14) the elliptic system (2.11) admits a unique classical solution by the direct minimization method developed in [28].

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_l)^\tau$ with

$$\mathbf{a} = \begin{pmatrix} (l+1) - \frac{4\pi N_1}{|\Omega|} \\ \vdots \\ (l+1) - \frac{4\pi N_l}{|\Omega|} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can rewrite the equations (3.2) in a vector form

$$\Delta \mathbf{v} = A\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{a}, \tag{3.4}$$

To find a variational principle, we need to use the property of the matrix A. It is easy to check that the matrix A is positive definite. Then, by Cholesky decomposition theorem [14], we see that there exists a unique $l \times l$ lower triangular matrix $L = (l_{ij})$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_{11} = \sqrt{a_{11}} = \sqrt{2}, \quad L_{j1} = \frac{a_{j1}}{L_{11}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \\
&L_{jj} = \sqrt{a_{jj} - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} L_{jk}^2} = \sqrt{2 - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} L_{jk}^2}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, l, \\
&L_{jk} = \frac{a_{jk} - \sum\limits_{k'=1}^{k-1} L_{jk'} L_{kk'}}{L_{kk}} = \frac{1 - \sum\limits_{k'=1}^{k-1} L_{jk'} L_{kk'}}{L_{kk}}, \quad j = k+1, \ldots, l, \quad k = 2, \ldots, l
\end{aligned}$$

such that

$$A = LL^\tau \tag{3.5}$$

Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_l)^\tau$, we introduce the transformation

$$\mathbf{w} = L^{-1}\mathbf{v} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{v} = L\mathbf{w} \tag{3.6}$$

Let $\mathbf{b} = L^{-1}\mathbf{a}$. Then the equation (3.2) becomes

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} = L^\tau \mathbf{U} - \mathbf{b}. \tag{3.7}$$

Set $\mathbf{K} = (K_1, \dots, K_l)^\tau$. Hence, by (3.7) and (3.3), we have

$$\mathbf{K} = |\Omega|(L^\tau)^{-1}\mathbf{b} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{b} = \frac{1}{|\Omega|}L^\tau \mathbf{K}. \tag{3.8}$$

We write (3.7) in the component form

$$\Delta w_j = \sum_{k=j}^{l} L_{kj} \exp\left(u_k^0 + \sum_{k'=1}^{k} L_{kk'} w_{k'}\right) - b_j, \qquad j = 1, \dots, l. \tag{3.9}$$

It is easy to check that the above system of equations (3.9) are the Euler-Lagrange equations of the functional

$$I(\mathbf{w}) = I(w_1, \dots, w_l) = \int_\Omega \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{l} |\nabla w_i|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \exp\left(u_i^0 + \sum_{k'=1}^{i} L_{ik'} w_{k'}\right) - b_i w_i \right\} \mathrm{d}x. \tag{3.10}$$

Let $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be the usual Sobolev space of scalar-valued or vector-valued Ω- periodic L^2 functions with their derivatives also in $L^2(\Omega)$. For $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ in scalar case, we have the decomposition

$$W^{1,2}(\Omega) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \dot{W}^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

such that any $w \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ can be expressed as

$$w = \underline{w} + \dot{w}, \quad \underline{w} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \dot{w} \in \dot{W}^{1,2}(\Omega), \quad \int_\Omega \dot{w}\,\mathrm{d}x = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

For any function $w \in \dot{W}^{1,2}(\Omega)$, there holds the Trudinger-Moser inequality [2,12]

$$\int_\Omega e^w \mathrm{d}x \le C \exp\left(\frac{1}{16\pi}\int_\Omega |\nabla w|^2 \mathrm{d}x\right), \tag{3.12}$$

which is important for our estimate.

When $\mathbf{w} \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, using the above inequality (3.12) we see that the functional defined by (3.10) is a C^1 functional and lower semi-continuous with respect to the weak topology of $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

For $\mathbf{w} \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, applying (3.6), (3.8) and the decomposition formula (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(\mathbf{w}) &= \int_\Omega \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{l} |\nabla \dot{w}_i|^2 \right\} \mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^{l} e^{u_0 + \dot{v}_i + \underline{v}_i} \mathrm{d}x - \mathbf{K}^\tau \underline{\mathbf{v}} \\ &= \int_\Omega \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{l} |\nabla \dot{w}_i|^2 \right\} \mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^{l} e^{u_0 + \dot{v}_i + \underline{v}_i} \mathrm{d}x - \sum_{i=1}^{l} K_i \underline{v}_i \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Using Jensen's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega e^{u_i^0+\dot{v}_i+\underline{v}_i} &\geq |\Omega| \exp\left(\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega (u_i^0+\dot{v}_i+\underline{v}_i)\mathrm{d}x\right)\\
&= |\Omega| \exp\left(\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u_i^0 \mathrm{d}x\right) e^{\underline{v}_i} \equiv \sigma_i e^{\underline{v}_i}, \quad i=1,\dots,l. \qquad (3.14)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the condition (2.14), we have $K_i>0, i=1,\dots,l$. Then, combining (3.13) and (3.14), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(\mathbf{w})-\int_\Omega \left\{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{l}|\nabla \dot{w}_i|^2\right\}\mathrm{d}x &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{l}(\sigma_i e^{\underline{v}_i}-K_i\underline{v}_i)\\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^{l} K_i \ln\frac{\sigma_i}{K_i}. \qquad (3.15)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.15) we can see that the functional $I(\mathbf{w})$ is bounded from below in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and the following minimization problem

$$
\eta_0 \equiv \inf\left\{I(\mathbf{w})|\mathbf{w}\in W^{1,2}(\Omega)\right\} \qquad (3.16)
$$

is well-defined.

Let $\left\{w_1^{(k)},\dots,w_l^{(k)}\right\}$ be a minimizing sequence of (3.16). It is easy to see that the function $F(t)=\sigma e^t-\eta t$, where σ,η are positive constants, satisfies the property that $F(t)\to+\infty$ as $t\to\pm\infty$. Then, we infer from (3.15) that $\left\{\underline{v}_i^{(k)}\right\}$ $(i=1,\dots,l)$ are bounded. As a result, $\left\{\underline{w}_i^{(k)}\right\}$ $(i=1,\dots,l)$ are bounded. Then, the sequences $\left\{\underline{w}_i^{(k)}\right\}$ $(i=1,\dots,l)$ admit convergent subsequences, still denoted by $\left\{\underline{w}_i^{(k)}\right\}(i=1,\dots,l)$ for convenience. Then, there exist l real numbers $\underline{w}_1^{(\infty)},\dots,\underline{w}_l^{(\infty)}\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $\underline{w}_i^{(k)}\to\underline{w}_i^{(\infty)}, i=1,\dots,l$, as $k\to\infty$.

In addition, using (3.15), we conclude that $\left\{\nabla\dot{w}_i^{(k)}\right\}$ $(i=1,\dots,l)$ are bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$. Therefore, it follows from the Poincaré inequality that the sequences $\left\{\dot{w}_i^{(k)}\right\}$ $(i=1,\dots,l)$ are bounded in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Consequently, the sequences $\left\{\dot{w}_i^{(k)}\right\}$ $(i=1,\dots,l)$ admit weakly convergent subsequences, still denoted by $\left\{\dot{w}_i^{(k)}\right\}$ $(i=1,\dots,l)$ for convenience. Then, there exist l functions $\dot{w}_i^{(\infty)}\in W^{1,2}(\Omega)\,(i=1,\dots,l)$ such that $\dot{w}_i^{(k)}\to\dot{w}_i^{(\infty)}$ weakly in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ as $k\to\infty\,(i=1,\dots,l)$. Of course, $\dot{w}_i^{(\infty)}\in\dot{W}^{1,2}(\Omega)\,(i=1,\dots,l)$.

Set $w_i^{(\infty)}=\underline{w}_i^{(\infty)}+\dot{w}_i^{(\infty)}$ $(i=1,\dots,l)$, which are all in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ naturally. Then, the above convergence implies $w_i^{(k)}\to w_i^{(\infty)}$ $(i=1,\dots,l)$ weakly in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ as $k\to\infty$. Since the functional $I(\mathbf{w})$ is weakly lower semi-continuous in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, we conclude that $\left(w_1^{(\infty)},\dots,w_l^{(\infty)}\right)$ is a solution of the minimization problem (3.16) and is a critical point of $I(\mathbf{w})$. As a critical point of $I(\mathbf{w})$, it satisfies the system (3.9).

Noting that the matrix A is positive, it is easy to check that $I(\mathbf{w})$ is strictly convex in $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Then, it has at most one critical point in $I(\mathbf{w})$, which implies the uniqueness of the solution to the equations (3.9).

4 Proof of existence for the planar case

Now we investigate the BPS equations (2.7)-(2.8) over the full plane with the boundary condition (2.15). To this end, it is equivalent to study the nonlinear elliptic system (2.11) or (2.12) with the boundary condition (2.13). It is not difficult to check that the matrix A in (2.12) is positive definite and its eigenvalues of are $\lambda_1 = l+1, \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \cdots = \lambda_l = 1$. Now, we can apply Theorem 1 in [45] to complete the proof of second part of Theorem 2.1. For completeness, we sketch the proof here. As in [45, 46] we introduce the background function

$$u_j^0 = -\sum_{k=1}^{N_j} \ln(1+\mu|x-p_{j,k}|^{-2}),\ \mu>0,\ j=1,\dots,l.$$

Then we have

$$\Delta u_j^0 = 4\pi\sum_{k=1}^{N_j}\delta_{p_{j,k}} - g_j,\ \ g_j = \sum_{k=1}^{N_j}\frac{4\mu}{(1+|x-p_{j,k}|^2)^2}, j=1,\dots,l.$$

Let $u_j = v_j + u_j^0,\ j=1,\dots,l$, then the system (2.11) become

$$\Delta v_j = e^{u_j^0+v_j} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} e^{u_i^0+v_i} - (l+1) + g_j,\ j=1,\dots,l. \tag{4.1}$$

As before we use the transformation (3.6) to change (4.1) into

$$\Delta w_j = \sum_{k=j}^{l} L_{kj}\left(\exp\left[u_k^0 + \sum_{k'=1}^{k} L_{kk'}w_{k'}\right] - 1\right) - h_j, \qquad j=1,\dots,l, \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\mathbf{h} = (h_1,\dots,h_l)^\tau = L^{-1}\mathbf{g}, \qquad \mathbf{g} = (g_1,\dots,g_l)^\tau.$$

It is easy to check that (4.2) are the Euler-Lagrange equations of the following functional

$$\begin{aligned} I(\mathbf{w}) = &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{l}|\nabla w_j|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{l} h_j w_j \right.\\ &\left. + \sum_{j=1}^{l}\left(\exp\left[u_j^0 + \sum_{k=1}^{j} L_{jk}w_k\right] - e^{u_j^0} - \sum_{k=1}^{j} L_{jk}w_k\right)\right\}\mathrm{d}x. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Following the technical proof of Theorem 2 in [45], we can obtain the coerciveness of I over $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$(DI(\mathbf{w}))(\mathbf{w}) \geq C_1\left(\sum_{j=1}^{l}\|w_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \sum_{j=1}^{l}\|\nabla w_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}\right) - C_2, \tag{4.4}$$

where C_1, C_2 are positive constants.

Consider the minimization problem

$$\eta_0 = \inf\left\{I(\mathbf{w})|\ \mathbf{w}\in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)\right\}. \tag{4.5}$$

It can be checked that the functional I is C^1, strictly convex and lower semicontinuous over $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Noting the estimate (4.4), we can show that the functional I admits a unique critical point in $W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then this critical point must be the solution of the original system (4.2). The decay estimate of the solutions at infinity follows a standard approach as in [45, 46].

5 Conclusions

We have carried out a rigorous analysis of the BPS equation derived from the theory of multi-intersections of $l\,(l \geq 2)$ D4-branes and 1 D4-brane. The BPS equations are discussed in two situations. In the first situation the equations are studied over a doubly periodic domain. In the second situation the equations are studied over the full plane. Via the direct minimization method we establish a sharp existence and uniqueness theorem for multiple vortex solutions of the BPS equations. We find an explicit necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a unique solution for the doubly periodic domain case. By the obtained vortex solutions we can interpret them as D0-branes on the intersections.

References

[1] A. A. Abrikosov, On the magnetic properties of superconductors of the second group, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **5** (1957) 1174-1182.

[2] T. Aubin, *Nonlinear Analysis on Manifolds: Monge-Ampére Equations*, Springer, Berlin and New York, 1982.

[3] R. Auzzi, S. Bolognesi, J. Evslin, K. Konishi, and A. Yung, Nonabelian supercon- ductors: vortices and confinement in N = 2 SQCD, *Nucl. Phys. B* **673** (2003) 187C216.

[4] R. Auzzi and S. P. Kumar, Non-Abelian vortices at weak and strong coupling in mass deformed ABJM theory, *J. High Energy Phys.* 0910 (2009) 071.

[5] A. Bezryadina, E. Eugenieva, and Z. Chen, Self-trapping and flipping of double-charged vortices in optically induced photonic lattices, *Optics Lett.* **31** (2006) 2456- 2458.

[6] E. B. Bogomol'nyi, The stability of classical solutions, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **24** (1976) 449-454.

[7] L. Caffarelli and Y. Yang, Vortex condensation in the Chern-Simons Higgs model: an existence theorem, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **168** (1995) 321-336.

[8] R. M. Chen and D. Spirn, Symmetric Chern-Simons-Higgs vortices, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **285** (2009) 1005-1031.

[9] M. Eto, T. Fujimori, T. Nagashima, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi, and N. Sakai, Multiple layer structure of non-Abelian vortex, *Phys. Lett. B* **678** (2009) 254-258.

[10] M. Eto, Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi, and N. Sakai, Solitons in the Higgs phase the moduli matrix approach, *J. Phys. A* **39** (2006) R315-R392.

[11] M. Eto, Y. Isozumi, M. Nitta, K. Ohashi, and N. Sakai, Moduli space of non- Abelian vortices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 161601.

[12] L. Fontana, Sharp borderline Sobolev inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **68** (1993) 415-454.

[13] V. L. Ginzburg and L. D. Landau, On the theory of superconductivity, In: Collected Papers of L. D. Landau (edited by D. Ter Haar), New York: Pergamon, 1965, pp. 546-568.

[14] G. H. Golub and J. M. Ortega, *Scientific computing and Differential Equations*, Academic, San Diego, 1992.

[15] S. B. Gudnason, Y. Jiang, and K. Konishi, Non-Abelian vortex dynamics: effective world-sheet action, *J. High Energy Phys.* **012** (2010) 1008.

[16] J. Han, Quantization effects for Maxwell-Chern-Simons vortices, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **363** (2010) 265-274.

[17] J. Han and H. Huh, Self-dual vortices in a Maxwell-Chern-Simons model with non-minimal coupling, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **82** (2007) 9-24.

[18] J. Han and J. Jang, Self-dual Chern-Simons vortices on bounded domains, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **64** (2003) 45-56.

[19] J. Han and S. Kim, Self-dual Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory on a cylinder, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **44** (2011) 135203

[20] M. B. Hindmarsh and T. W. B. Kibble, Cosmic strings, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **58** (1995) 477-562.

[21] J. Hong, Y. Kim and P. Y. Pac, Multivortex solutions of the Abelian Chern-Simons-Higgs theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** (1990) 2230-2233.

[22] G. 't Hooft, A property of electric and magnetic flux in nonabelian gauge theories, *Nucl. Phys. B* **153** (1979) 141-160.

[23] S. Inouye, S. Gupta, T. Rosenband, A. P. Chikkatur, A. Grlitz, T.L. Gustavson, A.E. Leanhardt, D. E. Pritchard, and W. Ketterle, Observation of vortex phase singularities in Bose-Einstein condensates, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87** 080402 (2001).

[24] R. Jackiw and E. J. Weinberg, Self-dual Chern-Simons vortices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64** (1990), 2234-2237.

[25] A. Jaffe and C. H. Taubes, *Vortices and Monopoles*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1980.

[26] Y. Kawaguchi and T. Ohmi, Splitting instability of amultiply charged vortex in a Bose-Einstein condensate, *Phys. Rev. A* **70** (2004) 043610.

[27] T. W. B. Kibble, Some implications of a cosmological phase transition, *Phys. Rep.* **67** (1980) 183-199.

[28] E. H. Lieb and Y. Yang, Non-Abelian vortices in supersymmetric gauge field theory via direct methods, *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, (2011) to appear.

[29] C. S Lin and Y. Yang, Sharp existence and uniqueness theorems for non-Abelian multiple vortex solutions, *Nuclear Physics B* **846** (2011) 650-676.

[30] C. S. Lin and Y. Yang, Non-Abelian multiple vortices in supersymmetric field theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **304** (2011) 433-457.

[31] T. Ricciard and G. Tarantello, Vortices in the Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **53** (2000) 811-851.

[32] M. Shifman and A. Yung, Non-Abelian string junctions as conectned monopoles, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 045004.

[33] M. Shifman and A. Yung, Localization of non-Abelian gauge fields on domain walls at weak coupling: D-brane prototypes, *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 025013.

[34] M. Shifman, and A. Yung, Supersymmetric solitons and how they help us un- derstand non-Abelian gauge theories, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79** (2007) 1139.

[35] J. Spruck and Y. Yang, On multivortices in the electroweak theory. II. Existence of Bogomol'ny' solutions in $\mathbb{R}^2$. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **144** (1992) 215-234.

[36] J. Spruck and Y. Yang, On multivortices in the electroweak theory. I. Existence of periodic solutions, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **144** (1992) 1-16.

[37] T. Suyama, Monopoles and black hole entropy, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **15** (2000) 271-280.

[38] T. Suyama, Intersecting branes and generalized vortices, arXiv:hep-th/9912261v1.

[39] G. Tarantello, Multiple condensate solutions for the Chern-Simons-Higgs theory, *J. Math. Phys.* **37** (1996) 3769-3796.

[40] C. H. Taubes, Arbitrary N-vortex solutions to the first order Ginzburg-Landau equations, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **72** (1980) 277-292.

[41] C. H. Taubes, On the equivalence of the first and second order equations for gauge theories, *Commun.Math. Phys.* **75** (1980) 207-227.

[42] A. Vilenkin and E.P.S. Shellard, *Cosmic Strings and Other Topological Defects,* Cambridge: Cambridge U. Press, 1994.

[43] R. Wang, The existence of Chern-Simons vortices, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **137** (1991) 587-597.

[44] S. Wang and Y. Yang, Abrikosov's vortices in the critical coupling, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **23** (1992) 1125-1140.

[45] Y. Yang, On a system of nonlinear elliptic equations arising in theoretical physics, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **170** (2000) 1-36.

[46] Y. Yang, *Solitons in Field Theory and Nonlinear Analysis*, Springer, New York, 2001